The School of the Art Institute of Chicago Lab
Term/Year: 2015 Instructor: Johe (
Student class status: Course title: English 1001-018 Moving / interactive I mage
1.) \$ 15 How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings? IN 1.8 hours of Regular Yeading / Week More when there is essays one useful because the
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? Yes, the tember mude it very easy to share our ideas.
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)?
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) The class Not a Noully 15 people, which is taily small. I think small class helps
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? I really like the course belowe the teacher is informative and respectful. I am not sure how to julye the course itself.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? Nort: Essure, plying genges and discuss it. groups up Least: Rending.

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.
to be returned, etc. the instructor almuss come to cluss on time, Provide good discussion invironent, and return paper gicks.
2 Harrist 9802 allassion invironent, and return paper gives
2. How accessible was the instructor? VELT ULLESSIBLE. Provide office hour regulars.
3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? Very well. I mus excited about the muterial.
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Missed 2 classes, Lame times late.
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? It is returned.
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I vith monits and gones differently now. I feel like I got better at writing after fuch espen.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?

Please fill out this questionnaire. Instructors will not read these until after the gradesheets are turned in, so be honest. It is important that you fill out this evaluation, because they are used in many different evaluation processes. Students can use these evaluations to read other students' responses and opinions regarding courses and/or instructors they are interested in taking. The Dean's Office, Division Chairs Office, and the department heads also read the evaluations for contract and tenure reviews; and instructors use them for self-evaluation. To read the evaluations, stop by the Student Affairs Office, Sullivan Center 36 S. Wabash, Suite 1204.

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: Fall Instructor: Jan B Jones
Student class status: Course title: ENG 1001-018: FYSI
A.) Questions relating to the course Moving / Interactive Image
1.) \$ () How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings?
An hour to three for a week
Ian's suggestion on improving papers is extremely useful and constructive
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
Yes, it was. It's just the majority of class does not speak that
much, while Ian keeps trying to keep the conversation going
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)?
Ian's comments and critiques are clear and constructive.
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.)
Perfect size for active discussion.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course?
9/10. I love Ian.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)?
Best: Ian's lenient in lateness as he understands a good paper
takes time.

Least: Sometimes people don't speak that much in class.

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
Nope.
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.
Always on time and return assignments one or two days
after the due date—Very informative and efficient.
2. How accessible was the instructor? Very accessible. He answers e-mails from students within few
hours and give constructive criticisms on any working drafts.
3. Ves No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Ves No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Ves No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?
The topic Ian's teaching has been my interest before the
sememster even started.
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? Productive, constructive, helpful and nice!
I'd like to take his class again.
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.
It was okay. (LOL)
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
If you are interested in films - both mainstream and experiment
and computer games (i.e. those on PS4), this class rules.
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
I am better at writing movie and game reviews.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?
JAN IS AWESOME!

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: Fall 2015 Instructor: lan Jones
Student class status: FYS Course title: He Moving Image
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ 10 00 How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings? a Cew hours each time there is a paper or film to watch as the time you choose to spend playing assigned games
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? YES. The Students in the class were hesitant to talk, but lan was inviting of ideas and tried to work thum
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? The comments from the instructor on papers were helpful in improving the way I take an writing
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) there was probably 14 ploble which made its effect on the high number of international students made speaking participation the high number of international students made speaking participation the high number of international students made speaking participation that high number of international students made speaking participation that has plantiful but lan created the best en monument possible to true get a stry class to open up.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? ourall lengyed this course. I found it interesting, and feel informed.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? I then the material presented, it was enjoy able yet I was still forced to think.

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
<u></u>
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc. I am was always on thul, and help ful. assignments were returned which a reasonable time.
2. How accessible was the instructor? He was very accessible when finding the need to email him he was quick to respond, and scheduled meetings made if easy to recovere one one advice
3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? The conveyed his knowledge well, and presented material in a way that was easy to jurders tand through explanation with specific examples.
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? I think I an Jones was an instructor who really cared works about flacking the best he could, and would not hesitale to stake a class with him again.
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Only absence once, parties parted regules !!
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm not interested in writing, but what I learned about talks film and game may trainstate.
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I have learned how to make intertremely structure my writing, and gamed knowledge in you the topic presented.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?

read the evaluations, stop by the Student Attairs Office, Sufficence 30 S. Wadash, Suite 1204.
The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: Fall 2015 Instructor: lan Jones
Student class status: Under grad. Course title: Meractive Film
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ \int \text{D} How much did you spend on supplies/materials?}
The state of the s
Projects/assignments/readings? Madel to work on essays and levisle them. The cuts of assignments were very four few they them. The cuts of assignments were very four full because they have been been assignments were very four full because they
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? The course was structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? The course was structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? The course was structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? The course was structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? The course was structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? I would rate the comments on papers comments and leave to write thousand comments and leave feely took his time to write thousand to do do do on papers.
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) The class size was perfect without 145 tuckents which allowed everyone to Marry unclass termed when when the because we could total have an an entitle with tan.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? The course is a good class.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? The one thing I he has be created the course was their or when to work on and head never of the content films in document and make papers on

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc. [0/(0) can was awys on fine with
2. How accessible was the instructor? The INSTRUCTER WOD very acressible, Inclass and out of class
3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4 Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? The INSTRUCTER CONVEYED NO Knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? Subject metter yeng and
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? also alloways norm for improvement, but I neally liked that he officed his time to really liked that he officed his time to really
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. My affinelline week oxey ? I participated a lot in the course.
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? The cause teaches one to also scuss films and video gams as an afferm the dissource films and video
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? (feel (Nove occup is need uniting cencisely in this course) (course 7 ly and my voceiful lang.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term / Year: Freshmen Instructor: An Jones
Student class status: Course title: Moving / Infernofice / mages.
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ O How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings? About 5 6 hours for each appear
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
Yes, it was a very open class but many at those not to speak up.
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? Very Clear and honest. would rafe it about 8.5/10.
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) We have almost a full (15) class don't thank the size of the class matters for much. The people in it are the ones that matter.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? Crood. I really enjoyed Its Hexibility and lentence.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? Isked how lensent and easy-going he was. Clorif have anything didn't thee.

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
Keeps it going. It's good the way it is.
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc. We was a fine first instructor.
2. How accessible was the instructor?
Very accessible through email.
3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He was very knowledgeable but not very cryaging.
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? the him, he is a need instructor.
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.
I was on thre. Massed 2 due to steleness.
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
English practice helpful for dashy life
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
Pretty okany.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?
Nope.

Ü

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: 2015 Fail Instructor: 20m Jones. Student class status: Course title: English Frest Sommer L.
Student class status: Course title: Trist Sommer].
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ \(\mathcal{D} \) \text{How much did you spend on supplies/materials?}
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings?
3 hrs / prer week
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
Yes
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? The structure of the class is clear and useful. You can learn basic and important knowledges and boundaring how to analyze.
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.)
15 people in one class.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course?
Decellant!
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? For a the great things about this class is that the topic where the great things about this class is that the explanation of film did a great job. It is great that the instructor show We are few short claps instead water whole film. This way would be better maderstanding for and ware fours on the job defend
us or few short clips instead water whole film. This way would be hatterstructure for aleten

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
The be two kents
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.
attendance
2. How accessible was the instructor?
You can ask him any question, and reply rowly queby,
 3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?
Great. The presentation is prepared and combe findly easily to understand.
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?
Zoellent.
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.
90%
· /
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
To my notor is photography which reported to film.
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
Great. A cot inproved.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?
~10,

read the evaluations, stop by the Student Attairs Office, Suffivan Center 30 S. Wabash, Stute 1204.
The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: Fall 2015 Instructor: Ian B Johns
Student class status: FY51 Course title: Moving/Interactive Image
A.) Questions relating to the course
1.) \$ How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings? Nelpful. I spent about few good weeks It was actually very nelpful. I spent about few good weeks for whole the outside of class works to brainstorm, write, and for whole the outside of class works to brainstorm, write, and
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? Ves. However, sometimes it was have to listen what I an was ray BC it was little too quiet end of the sentence.
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? **The the the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? **He really helpful during individual meetings. Afterfirst dion't I could improve wery much.
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) Think Sizing is good. Physical Environment, nothing really to talk about.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? If was very helpful. The class materials were unique and rich.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? Times when we watered movie. Discussions after that. Brimes when we watered movie. Discussions after that. Bruthing really. Maybe the volume of the voice?

8. What suggestions do you have for this department? Yothing In particular.	
D) Overtions veloting to the instruction	
B) Questions relating to the instructor 1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for ass	ionmente

- 1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.

 He was on time every class. Quality of critiques were rich & He was on time every class. Quality of critiques were rich & helpful. Time it took for assignment to be returned so-so but not bad at all.
- 2. How accessible was the instructor? He was pretty accessible.
- 3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course?
- 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings?
- 5 No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
- 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?

 Le seemed to be very knowledgeable about what he was the seemed to be very knowledgeable about what he was the seemed to be very knowledgeable about what he was the seemed to be very knowledgeable about what he was the seemed to be very knowledgeable about what he was the seemed to be very knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?
- 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?

C) Questions relating to the student

- 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.

 I was pretty active person in the 50049.
- 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?

 I'm in video department so it this plass helped a lot me making my video. (Inspirations)
- 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I feel I've learned alot about the cinema and feel pretty prond.
- 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?

 NOPC. THANKS IAN

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: FYS (Freshman Instructor: I am Jones
Student class status: Course title: Film Moving Interactive Im
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$\sum_{\text{How much did you spend on supplies/materials?}}\end{align*}
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings?
Reading materials 30 minutes Useful connects to next week's class.
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
Tes.
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)?
Not much studio critiques. But the comments on papers are quite useful and clear auth
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on
teaching, etc.) The Circles April Dut I think there should be more tables.
The size is good but I think there should be more tables. Standards to late would be seated in the back.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course?
Good .
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)?
He doesn't push us to speak in the class and at the some time,
improvement would you give)? He doesn't push us to speak in the class and at the some time, encourage us to prepare for some presentations in group. That's areas.

Even though we have individual topics on each class. I think the English class should still give some lectures on how to write paper. B) Questions relating to the instructor 1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc. Good 2. How accessible was the instructor? Accessible when the west westing individually. 3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He did it Very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? Good. C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absense. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvement. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
B) Questions relating to the instructor 1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc. Grad. 2. How accessible was the instructor? & Accessible was the instructor? & Accessible was the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4 Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5 Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He chil it very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absence. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvement. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	Even though we have individual topics on each class. I think
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc. Grod. 2. How accessible was the instructor? & Accessible was the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4 Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4 Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/meetings? 5 Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He did it Very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Ow absense. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	the English class should still give some lectures on how to write paper.
2. How accessible was the instructor? & Accessible was the instructor? & Accessible when the weethy individualy. 3. Ves No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He did it Very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? Ow absence. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	B) Questions relating to the instructor
2. How accessible was the instructor? Accessible when two two first wastry individually. 3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He did it very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absence. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writhy but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	
Accesside when two two two the methy individualy. 3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He did it very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Ow absense. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writhy but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	Good
Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? Yes No Did the instructor bave class critiques/group discussions? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He did it Very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absence. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but Still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	2. How accessible was the instructor?
Tes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? No No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions? 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? He did it very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absence. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	& Accessible when works weeting individualy.
engage your interest? He dist it very well. 7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absence. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I distint do well in writing but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	4 Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings?
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? (C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. (DW absense.) 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? (I'm interested in this area.) 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? (I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvements) 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	
C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absense. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writhy but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	He dist very well.
C) Questions relating to the student 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absence. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writhy but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. Own absense. 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writhy but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	Ao I a
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	C) Questions relating to the student
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization? I'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do wer! in writhy but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.
1 'm interested in this area. 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing but still have good improvements. 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	Ow absense.
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? I didn't do well in writing (but still have good improvement). 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	I'm interested in this area.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
	I didn't do well in writhly but still have good improvements

ï

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: Fell 2015 Instructor: lan Jones
Student class status: Course title:
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ How much did you spend on supplies/materials? 10 - 20
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings? Leavily's every reel and writty ver viet (
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
les
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? The teachers comments were useful for the townise may positive.
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) I that it would have been better if the class room us larger of less students. It sout effects but the learning or ancestration of the wish sometimes we head to lift was close to eath of the
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? An This is a good start for the leave classes
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? I like how we critishe the scenes in movies and explore both movies and genes.

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.
Here dong his best to return & with as
ned expormetion es he can,
2. How accessible was the instructor?
It we very eary to nech him via email.
3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? Mormal (I know he 1) by also with his other desses (n other schools and he are still reghits
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course. The street of the I had one as sense - Somethy. I wo lete 5-10 mer.
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
Congroving my writing shells.
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: Freshman Instructor: Jan Jones
Student class status: FYS1 Course title: FYS1 Moving/ Interactive films/ga
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$20-30 How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings? We wrote 3 main papers and watured a few films outside of class so ld say multiple hours of butside work depends on the student. Outside work is very useful. We also read a lot:
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas? Yes, every week we had open diss cussion on What we read/watched outside of class.
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? Teacher did great job of commenting on papers and making office hour meetings to make some we would making office hour meetings to make some we would make some we
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) Small SiZe, sometimes its hard to get people engaged but you get more attention from the teacher.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? great course - teacher was very professional and helpful. I learned a lot about a topic 1 Started out hat knowing anything about at all.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? I liked gotting to read and watch? Play firms and make the connections between the connections between
engage such a small class conversation was

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?	İ
Mate the class size a little loigger - or have ways for students to engage more.	
B) Questions relating to the instructor	
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments	
to be returned, etc.	
exellent-always on the and ready for class	
2. How accessible was the instructor? YEM EXCESSIBLE - WE had multiple options	÷
for office hours.	47
 3. Vos No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Vos No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Vos No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions? 	157
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they	23
engage your interest?	2
conveyed knowledge very well-engagement w/ class was good, but could be worked on.	25
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?	**
very great	
C) Questions relating to the student	13
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.	97
- always on time	
- ho absences	
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?	
- It is new to me, but I learned SO much.	The
- His related to cone-moving images and many	IIV V
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course? NAVE PANNED NOW TO WATE better Clarins	CA
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?	2001
T. 18 there anything you would have to address that wash t asked in this evaluation?	
s · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

t

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term/Year: 2015 Instructor: Zan fones
Student class status: Freshman Course title: moving mages
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ W How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings?
headings: were me not very useful
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
Yes
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? Deep in thoughts
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) 14 People quief environment. mt with alass
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? Great Really can learn something from it
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)?
Clips and vides games played in class are all bused on
Clips and vides games played in class are all bused on of moute feacher's own experience. The class materials one great

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
·
B) Questions relating to the instructor
Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.
excellent
2. How accessible was the instructor?
Great.
3. Ves No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Ves No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Ves No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?
He let us for the class by ways of playing or
watching game judios.
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?
Grevet
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.
Alone Z go-to the class regularly
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
film, mong mage are pate of my present, and are
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
knowledge about from deep-thurshe tunking
deep-thinks tunking
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?
Mo

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term / Year: 2015 Fall Instructor: Ian Jones
Student class status: Course title:
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ 20 How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings?
Not much time required. Reachings are useful.
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
Yes.
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)?
Good.
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.)
proper size, good eminonment
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course?
Interesting class
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)?
Most: Inthoduction of films/ video games
Least: readings.

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.
Good.
2. How accessible was the instructor?
Accessible
 3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4. Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?
Very well
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?
Good
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.
No absence
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
Not very related.
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
Quite accomplished
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?

Term/Year: Fall 2015 Instructor: In B Jones. Student class status: Fresh . Sem. I Course title: Moving / Interaction I mage
Student class status. Fresh . Sem I Course title. Moving / Interaction 14
Dinuthi Cass Status.
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ O How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings?
express of Thre spent.
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
course discussion à lot.
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)? Well, get the full back meded improve
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) well sized, just to a many non english speakers (ne fault of the ironum) to have in depth discussions.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course? Core am + Me matterial, ones made it difficult.
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? - withing aniguments bust - in Chern Ascensionals - worst.

8. What suggestions do you have for this department? Spread out non english speakers if punible.
B) Questions relating to the instructor
1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc. National Marketter (and alstent w/o national).
2. How accessible was the instructor? Wey much 10.
3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course? 4 Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings? 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest? Well (Mark) Market
7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor? Lean knews his shuff and his Reaching hylects heat. a small guy nearly
C) Questions relating to the student
1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.
was absent Twice purnightarly participance
2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?
not at all really, I kind of Cike Mat Prough.
3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?
gherrer understanding of film (med):
4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?
vah.

The School of the Art Institute of Chicago
Term / Year: frashmen Instructor: 1an jones
Student class status: first year sommer Course title: moving Imagos
A.) Questions relating to the course 1.) \$ 3.0 How much did you spend on supplies/materials?
2. How much time outside of class is required for this course? How useful are the outside projects/assignments/readings? Hour conditions Projects P
3. Was this course structured in a manner that allowed for the open exchange of ideas?
4. How would you rate the studio critiques or comments on papers and exams (consider depth, honesty, length, informativeness, clarity, etc.)?
5. Evaluate the size of the class and the physical environment for the class (include its effect on teaching, etc.) The class could have been smaller which might have prompted more discussion.
6. What is your overall evaluation of the course?
7. What is the one thing you liked best about the course? Least? (and what suggestions for improvement would you give)? That just is a factor of parameters I would be the parameters of parameters

8. What suggestions do you have for this department?

No longer requires to turn in proper deaths

B) Questions relating to the instructor

1. Evaluate the instructor's attendance promptness, quality of critiques, time it took for assignments to be returned, etc.

Should up or time, the poper connects were great & assignments were typically voticed et a good rate

2. How accessible was the instructor?

Very accessible

- 3. Yes No Did the instructor have a syllabus, plan or planned daily agenda for the course?
- 4 Yes No Did the instructor have individual critiques/meetings?
- 5. Yes No Did the instructor have class critiques/group discussions?
- 6. How well did the instructor convey his/her knowledge of the subject matter and how well did they engage your interest?

I enjoyed the content & was engaged. They seemed to know there stuff.

7. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?

9 62 01 10

C) Questions relating to the student

- 1. Evaluate your attendance and participation in the course.

 Attendance could have been botter but I mostly participated.
- 2. How does this course relate to your other courses and your area of specialization?

 It did not relate to my other courses but films
 influence my writings
- 3. What do you feel you have accomplished in this course?

 I do cloped my moders tanking of cinema
- 4. Is there anything you would like to address that wasn't asked in this evaluation?
 √ ○